PTO/SB/21 (09-04) (AW 10/2004) Approved for use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

# **TRANSMITTAL FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	10/660,054	
Filing Date	September 11, 2003	
First Named Inventor	Shigeru Yamane et al.	
Art Unit	1774	
Examiner Name	Merrick L. Dixon	
Attorney Docket No.	MAT-8260US1	

Total Number of Pages in This Submiss	ion //	Attorney Docket No.	MAT-8260US1				
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/Declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request  Express Abandonment Request  Information Disclosure Statement  Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Response to Missing Parts/	Drawin Licens Petitio Petitio Provis Power Chang Addres Termin Reque	ng(s) sing-related Papers n n to Convert to a ional Application of Attorney, Revocation se of Correspondence	on,	After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): 2038 on Postcard			
Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				·			
SIGNATURI	E OF APPLIC	ANT, ATTORNEY C	R AGENT				
Firm Name RatherPrestia Signature Printed Name Lawrence E. Ashery  Date January 19, 2006  Registration No. 34,515							
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION / MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:							
Signature Set							
Typed or Printed Name Beth Johnson		*	Date	January 19, 2006			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Office, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Appeal Brief Dated January 19, 2006

MAT-8260US1

JAN

JAN 2 3 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Marin. No:

10/660,054

Applicant:

S. YAMANE et al.

Filed:

September 11, 2003

Title:

METHOD OF MANUFACTURING CLAD BOARD FOR FORMING CIRCUITRY,

CLAD BOARD AND CORE BOARD FOR CLAD BOARD

TC/A.U.:

1774

Examiner:

Merrick L. Dixon

Dealest No.

Confirmation No.: 7291

Docket No.:

MAT-8260US1

#### **APPEAL BRIEF**

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF-PATENTS Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Further to the Notice of Appeal dated November 16, 2005, Appellants are submitting this Appeal Brief for the above-identified application.

#### I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.

## II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

## III. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 37, 40, 41, 44, 47, 59, 66, 69, 70, 73, and 76 are pending. Claims 1-36, 38, 39, 42, 43, 45, 46, 48-58, 60-65, 67, 68, 71, 72, 74, 75, and 77-82 have been canceled. Claims 37, 40, 41, 44, 47, 59, 66, 69, 70, 73, and 76 have been appealed.

## IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendments have been filed subsequent to Final Rejection.

01/24/2006 WABDELR1 00000034 10660054

01 FC:1402

500.00 OP

Page 1 of 9

Appeal Brief Dated January 19, 2006

## V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The present invention relates to a clad board, and a core board for a clad board, for forming circuitry (page 1, lines 7-9; page 3, lines 24-25; page 5, lines 4-5). Although the specification also describes a method of manufacturing a clad board for forming circuitry (page 1, line 7; page 3, line 27-page 4, line 5), the only claims on appeal are apparatus claims. Even though claim 37 recites method steps, it also recites apparatus features. Appellants do not rely on the method steps for the patentability of claim 37 or any other claim. Accordingly, the claimed patentable subject matter in claim 37 is contained in the apparatus recitations of that claim. Dependent claims 40, 41, 44, 47, and 59 do not recite any method steps; only apparatus limitations. Similarly, claims 66, 69, 70, 73, 76 are apparatus claims that do not contain method limitations.

The clad board recited in claim 37 is a fiber sheet included in a pre-preg sheet. Resin material is impregnated into the fiber sheet. The resin material includes at least one of thermoplastic resin and thermosetting resin having semi-cured portion. A resin layer is formed smoothly on the fiber sheet. The resin layer is made of material identical to the resin material that is impregnated into the fiber sheet. The core board recited in claim 66 has nearly identical features as those recited in claim 37. The features recited in the claims are described in Appellants' specification at page 5, lines 9 to 13; page 6, lines 9-12, 17-20, 24-27; page 7, lines 1-2 and Figs. 1A, 1B.

More specifically, Figs. 1A and 1B show a pre-preg sheet 1. (page 5, line 9). Fig. 1B is a sectional view of the pre-preg sheet taken along line 1B-1B in Fig. 1A. (page 4, lines 11-12). Pre-preg sheet 1 is a composite material including impregnated resin 7 and non-woven fabric 6 of fiber sheet. (page 5, lines 9-11). Pre-preg sheet 1 is covered with impregnated resin on its overall surface, so that flat resin layer 8 made of impregnated resin 7 is formed. A thickness of layer 8 ranges from 1µm to 30µm. (page 6, lines 9-12). Resin layer 8 has a smooth face. (page 6, lines 24-25). Smoothness of resin layer 8 of sheet 1 is important because it prevents

Appeal Brief Dated January 19, 2006

short circuits between adjacent circuit-patterns. (page 6, line 27-page 7, line 8). The clad board with the above features also has increased adhesive force between a metal foil 5 (shown in Fig. 4F) and resin layer 8 resulting in increased adhesive strength of circuit patterns to the board. (page 7, lines 9-12)

### VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Paragraph 2 of the final rejection dated July 14, 2005 rejected claims 37, 40, 41, 44, 47, 59, 66 and 76 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Nakatani (U.S. Patent No. 6,096,411). Paragraph 4 of the final rejection rejected claims 66, 69, 70, 73 and 76 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Nakatani.

During a telephone interview with the Examiner on November 10, 2005, the Examiner agreed that applicants could treat the rejection of claims 37, 40, 41, 44, 47, 59, 66, and 76 as a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) instead of a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). An interview summary was filed with the USPTO on November 11, 2005. Accordingly, claims 37, 40, 41, 44, 47, 59, 66, 69, 70, 73, and 76 have all been finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Nakatani.

#### VII. ARGUMENT

Claims 37, 40, 41, 44, 47, 59, 66, 69, 70, 73, and 76 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Nakatani (U.S. Patent No. 6,096,411). It is respectfully submitted, however, that these claims are patentable over the art of record for the reasons set forth below.

Appellants' invention, as recited by claims 37 and 66, includes a feature that is neither disclosed nor suggested by Nakatani, namely:

#### Claim 1

resin material impregnated into the fiber sheet, the resin material including at least one of thermoplastic resin and thermosetting resin having semi-cured portion; and

Appln. No.: 10/660,054

Appeal Brief Dated January 19, 2006

a resin layer formed smoothly on the fiber sheet, the resin layer being made of material identical to the resin material.

#### Claim 66

resin material impregnated into the fiber sheet, the resin material including at least one of thermoplastic resin and thermosetting resin having semi-cured portion; and

a resin layer formed on the fiber sheet, being made of material identical to the resin material.

Thus, the claims describe the resin layer formed on the fiber sheet as being made of material identical to the resin material impregnated into the fiber sheet.

Figures 1 and 2 of Nakatani, for example, shows a double-sided wire board 6 composed of a laminated material substrate 1, copper foils 4 (also shown as copper foils 41a, 42a), and via holes 13 with conductive paste 30. (col. 8, lines 1-41). Figures 3A and 3B illustrate a multi-layered printed board 61 that is formed by repeatedly using the features shown in Figures 1 and 2. (col. 8, lines 56-59). Figure 3A shows prepregs 10, 10, with through holes 13 filled with conductive paste. On both sides of the core board are copper foils 4, 4. When the prepregs and a base material are heated and pressed with the copper foils, a multi-layered printed board 61 is formed with electrodes 41b, 42b connected through the inner via hole conductors 3. By etching the copper foils 43, 44 on the upper and lower sides into electrodes of a desired pattern, there is a completed multi-layered printed circuit board 61. (col. 8, line 56-col. 9, line 5)

Thus, Nakatani discloses wire board 6 with copper foils 4, 4:

4— Copper Foil
6— Wire Board
4— Copper Foil

Paragraph 5, on page 3 of the Office Action contends that it would have been obvious to substitute resin material for the copper foils disclosed in Nakatani. Applicants respectfully disagree.

Appeal Brief Dated January 19, 2006

In Nakatani, copper foils 4, 4 serve as electrodes to provide electric connection. (col. 8, lines 45-47). That is, the Nakatani device must have copper foils 4, 4 in order to function. If resin layers are substituted for the copper foils in Nakatani, the Nakatani device would no longer function as a printed circuit board. Therefore, it would not have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute resin material for the copper foils in the Nakatani device. *In re Fritch*, 23 USPQ2d 1780 at n.12 (Fed. Cir. 1992) (a proposed modification to a prior art reference cannot be used to reject claims if the proposed modification would render the prior art device inoperable for its intended purpose); *In re Gordon*, 221 USPQ 1125, 1127 (Fed. Cir. 1984) (a prior art reference teaches away from the USPTO's proposed modification if the proposed modification would render the prior art device inoperable for its intended purpose).

In view of the arguments set forth above, the above-identified application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Lawrence E. Ashery, Reg No. 34,515 Attorney for Applicants

LEA/bj

Enclosure: Claims Appendix

Dated: January 19, 2006

P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482 (610) 407-0700

The Director is hereby authorized to charge or credit Deposit Account No. 18-0350 for any additional fees, or any underpayment or credit for overpayment in connection herewith.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

January 19/2006

Beth Johnson

Appln. No.: 10/660,054

Appeal Brief Dated January 19, 2006

## **APPENDIX OF CLAIMS**

## **Listing of Claims:**

1-36. (Canceled)

37. (Original) A clad board for forming circuitry, being manufactured through:

sticking a releasing film to a pre-preg sheet;

forming a hole in the pre-preg sheet with the releasing film, the hole being one of a nonthrough-hole and a through-hole;

filling the hole with conductive paste;

peeling off the releasing film; and

heating and pressing a metal foil onto the pre-preg sheet,

said clad board comprising:

a fiber sheet included in the pre-preg sheet;

resin material impregnated into the fiber sheet, the resin material including at least one of thermoplastic resin and thermosetting resin having semi-cured portion; and

a resin layer formed smoothly on the fiber sheet, the resin layer being made of material identical to the resin material.

38-39. (Canceled)

40. (Previously Presented) The clad board of claim 37,

wherein the fiber sheet has a density ranging from 700kg/m³ to 1000kg/m³.

41. (Previously Presented) The clad board of claim 37,

wherein the fiber sheet includes;

a first layer disposed at a surface of the fiber sheet; and

Appln. No.: 10/660,054

Appeal Brief Dated January 19, 2006

a second layer having a density lower than a density of the first layer.

42-43. (Canceled)

44. (Previously Presented) The clad board of claim 37,

wherein the fiber sheet includes;

a first layer; and

a second layer having a density different from a density of the first layer.

45-46. (Canceled)

47. (Previously Presented) The clad board of claim 37,

wherein the fiber sheet includes;

first and second layers disposed at respective surfaces of the fiber sheet; and

a third layer located between the first and second layers, the third layer having a density lower than respective densities of the first and second layers.

48-58. (Canceled)

59. (Previously Presented) The clad board of claim 37,

wherein the fiber sheet has a hole formed therein, said clad board further comprising a conductive paste filling the hole of the fiber sheet, the conductive paste including a conductive particle shaped in non-spherical.

60-65. (Canceled)

66. (Original) A core board for a clad board for forming circuitry, comprising:

a fiber sheet;

resin material impregnated into the fiber sheet, the resin material including at least one of thermoplastic resin and thermosetting resin having semi-cured portion; and

Appln. No.: 10/660,054

Appeal Brief Dated January 19, 2006

a resin layer formed on the fiber sheet, being made of material identical to the resin material.

67-68. (Canceled)

69. (Previously Presented) The core board of claim 66,

wherein the fiber sheet has a density ranging from 700kg/m³ to 1000kg/m³.

70. (Previously Presented) The core board of claim 66,

wherein the fiber sheet includes;

a first layer disposed at a surface of the fiber sheet; and

a second layer having a density lower than a density of the first layer.

71-72. (Canceled)

73. (Previously Presented) The core board of claim 66,

wherein the fiber sheet includes;

a first layer; and

a second layer having a density different from a density of the first layer.

74-75. (Canceled)

76. (Previously Presented) The core board of claim 66,

wherein the fiber sheet includes;

first and second layers disposed at respective outermost sides of the fiber sheet; and

a third layer located between the first and second layers, having a density lower than respective densities of the first and second layers.

77-82. (Canceled)

Appln. No.: 10/660,054 Appeal Brief Dated January 19, 2006

# **EVIDENCE APPENDIX**

None

BETHJ\_I:\MAT\8260US1\APPEAL BRIEF.DOC